# OPTIMAL COMPOSITION OF CALCIUM ALUMINOSILICATE GLASS PARTICLES USED AS SUPPLEMENTARY CEMENTITIOUS MATERIALS

METTE MOESGAARD<sup>\*</sup>, DUNCAN HERFORT<sup>\*\*</sup>, LISE FRANK KIRKEGAARD<sup>\*\*</sup> and

YUANZHENG YUE\*

<sup>\*</sup>Section of Chemistry, Aalborg University, Sohngaardsholmsvej 57, 9000 Aalborg, Denmark <sup>\*</sup>Aalborg Portland, Rørdalsvej 44, 9220 Aalborg Øst, Denmark

# ABSTRACT

In this work, we investigate the potential use of  $CaO-Al_2O_3-SiO_2$  glass particles as supplementary cementitious materials (SCM). A requirement for the glass as a useful candidate for SCMs is that the overall  $CO_2$  emissions caused by cement production should be reduced significantly by replacing part of the cement with glass. This can be obtained by compositional modifications aiming at minimizing both the "process  $CO_2$ " and "fuel-derived  $CO_2$ " linked with glass production and maximizing the pozzolanic reactivity of the glass. A high pozzolanic reactivity ensures an acceptable performance of the final mortar or concrete. Based on the glass characteristics and testing of pozzolanic reactivity, we find that the glasses prepared from the naturally occurring minerals (clay, limestone and sand) are promising SCMs.

# **KEYWORDS:**

CO<sub>2</sub> emission, Portland cement, supplementary cementitious materials, pozzolanity.

# INTRODUCTION

CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from human activity are widely recognized as the major cause of global warming observed over recent decades. Energy intensive industries are therefore making considerable efforts to reduce their CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The production of Portland cement (PC) is one of these energy intensive industries, and it is estimated that the cement industry today accounts for approximately 5% of the global man-made CO2 emissions (Damtoft, et al., 2005; Rehan & Nehdi 2005; Worrel, et al., 2001). Most of this CO2 originates from the decarbonation of limestone which constitutes 80-85% of the raw materials for clinker production. This is referred to as "process CO2". One strategy for reducing the CO2 emissions from the cement industry is to reduce the clinker content in the cement. The clinker is partly substituted by alternative materials producing so-called composite cements. These alternative materials are referred to as supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs). It is important that the SCMs contribute positively to the final cement performance. Most SCMs are either latently hydraulic, such as granulated blast furnace slag, or pozzolanic, such as fly ash or silica fume. A pozzolan is defined as a silica or aluminosilica containing material that alone does not possess hydraulic reactivity but when mixed with calcium hydroxide and water reacts to form strength giving hydration products (Taylor, 1997). These materials are usually by-products from other industries and therefore do not contribute to the CO2 emission of the cement production. In practice, the use of these materials is limited by their availability, transport costs and competition with other industries. At present they are almost fully utilized in Western Europe. Further reduction of the clinker content, therefore, requires new sources of alternative SCMs (Damtoft, et al., 2005; Rehan & Nehdi 2005; Worrel, et al., 2001). In addition, the demand for cement, especially in developing countries is expected to rise significantly over the coming decades placing even greater burden on the cement industry to reduce emissions (Damtoft, et al., 2005; Humphreys & Mahasenan 2002).

Possible candidates for alternative SCMs are calcium aluminosilicate (CAS) glass particles. CAS glass is composed of the same oxides found in Portland cement and the thermodynamic instability of glass is



expected to provide hydraulic reactivity. Hence the glass is expected to take part in the strength giving reactions occurring during cement hydration. In recent years, several studies have focused on the use of waste glass as SCMs. These studies have confirmed the hydraulic reactivity of the glass when mixed with cement. Glass can thus be considered as a pozzolan. Waste glass is however also a limited resource (Shi & Zheng 2007; Shayan & Xu 2006; Shi, et al., 2005; Schwarz, et al., 2008). In this work, we attempt to engineer CAS glass particles having a composition optimized for utilization as an SCM. This is done by considering both the extent to which the glass will take part in the hydration reactions and thus contribute to the strength of the composite cement, but also the amount of  $CO_2$  released during glass production. In practice this is done by taking into account the consumption of limestone, practical melting temperature and pozzolanity of the glass. The first two characteristics are related to the energy and therefore  $CO_2$  consumption during production.

For full scale production to be economically viable, the glass particles must be produced from low cost and locally available raw materials. Conventional raw materials i.e., clay, limestone and sand are therefore chosen. The raw materials are of course mixed in other proportions than for cement production. Investigations were first performed on a three component model system, i.e., the CaO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> system (Moesgaard & Yue 2009; Moesgaard, et al., in press). These are the major oxides in the raw materials and account for 90% of the oxides in PC. Subsequently impurities present in naturally occurring minerals were introduced to test the effects on the characteristics of the glass. Four glass compositions were investigated in this work corresponding to the optimum composition found from investigations on the three component model system (Moesgaard & Yue 2009; Moesgaard, et al., in press) ( $C_{26}A_9S_{65}$ ) and three component model system (Moesgaard & Yue 2009; Moesgaard, et al., in press) ( $C_{26}A_9S_{65}$ ) and three compositions based on the naturally occurring minerals (referred to as the CLS glasses). The compositions of the glasses and that of ordinary PC are given in Table 1 and illustrated by the ternary CaO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> phase diagram shown in Figure 1.

	PC cement	$C_{26}A_9S_{65}$	CLS <sub>1N</sub>	CLS <sub>5N</sub>	CLS <sub>9N</sub>
SiO <sub>2</sub>	19.2	64.9	59.1	56.1	53.9
$Al_2O_3$	3.5	9.3	8.2	7.9	7.7
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.5	-	3.0	2.9	2.8
CaO	70.8	25.8	22.1	21.2	21.3
MgO	1.5	-	4.2	3.9	3.9
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.3	-	1.7	2.0	1.6
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.2	-	1.2	5.4	8.2
$SO_3$	2.8	-	< 0.1	0.2	0.2
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.2	-	0.5	0.5	0.5

Table	1:	Oxide co	mpositions	(mol%)	) of the	five	glasses	investig	ated.
abic	1.	O Alue CO	mpositions	(1101 / 0)	, or the	IIVC :	grasses	mvesug	,aicu.





Figure 1: Molar ternary CaO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> phase diagram. Squaresindicate the compositions of Portland cement (PC) and the CAS glasses under investigation (Table 1). Selected binary phases within the relevant compositional range are indicated by triangles. The traditional nomenclature with C, A and S representing CaO, SiO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, respectively, is used. Mixes of PC and the glass particles plot along the dashed line.

## EXPERIMENTAL

The glasses were synthesized by meltingthe batch in a  $Pt_{90}Rh_{10}$  crucible in air atmosphere (furnace: model SF6/17 Entech, Ängelholm, Sweden). For the model system glass, the batch was obtained by mixing the analytical chemicals SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and CaCO<sub>3</sub>. A two-step melting process was carried out. First, the batch was melted at 1550°C for 2 hours. The melt was subsequently quenched in water to obtain crushed fragments. The crushed glass was remelted at 1550°C for another 2 hours and cast on a graphite plate. The glass was annealed at 800 °C, i.e. at approximately theglass transition temperature ( $T_g$ ), for 10 minutes, and cooled slowly after switching off the furnace.

Clay (bentonite) for glass preparation was provided by Dantonit A/S Odense, Denmark. Sand and limestone were provided by Aalborg Portland A/S, Aalborg, Denmark. Analytical Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was introduced to provide additional Na<sub>2</sub>O. Prior to melting in the Pt<sub>90</sub>Rh<sub>10</sub> crucible the clay was heat treated at 900°C for 3 hours (heating rate of 10°C /min) to remove any organic material. The batch was homogenized using a mortar and then melted at 1450°C for 3 hours. The melt was cast on a graphite plate and annealed at 700 °C or 650 °C for 10 minutes, and naturally cooled inside the furnace. The chemical compositions of the glasses were determined by standard wet chemical analysis at Aalborg Portland A/S.

In the low viscosity range (approx.  $10^{0}$ - $10^{3}$  Pa·s), the viscosity ( $\eta$ ) was measured using concentric cylinder viscometry. The furnace was a box furnace (model HT 7, Scandiaovnen A/S, Allerød, Denmark) and the viscometer head was a Physica Rheolab MC1 (Paar Physica, Stuttgart, Germany).

For tests of pozzolanity, the glass was ground to pass a 75  $\mu$ m sieve. 1.00 g glass powder (1.5  $\cdot 10^{-2}$  mol) and 1.00 g Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> (1.3  $\cdot 10^{-2}$  mol) were mixed with 100 mL freshly boiled water and stored in a closed container for 7 days at 40°C. During storage the mixture was shaken with a horizontal rotary motion. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was stored for further analyses. For solid-state NMR investigations the collected solid was dried over silica gel in a desiccator. For determination of the mass of unreacted glass the collected solid was washed with a weak acetic acid solution to dissolve remaining Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> and any hydration productsformed. The washed glass was dried over silica gel in a desiccator.



Solid-state<sup>29</sup>Si MAS (magic angle spinning) NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance) spectra were recorded at 39.8 MHz on a Varian INOVA-200 (4.7 T) spectrometer whereas the<sup>27</sup>Al MAS NMR spectra were recorded at 104.2MHz on Varian INOVA-400 (9.39 T) (14.09 T) spectrometers. The <sup>27</sup>Al and <sup>29</sup>Si isotropic chemical shifts were reported relative to a 1.0 M aqueous solution of  $AlCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$  and neat tetramethyl silane (TMS), respectively.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The initial investigations on the three component CaO-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> system focused on the compositional range of the eutectic compositions of anorthite-wollastonite-tridymite and anorthite-wollastonite-gehlenite. This compositional range involves significantly lower CO<sub>2</sub> emission from decarbonation compared to Portland cement. Furthermore the eutectic compositions correspond to minimum temperature on the liquidus surface implying that the glasses can be produced at relatively low temperatures. Last but not least, it is expected that glasses within this compositional range can be produced without forced cooling (Mysen & Richet 2005). From these investigations we chose to focus on the eutectic composition of anorthite-wollastonite-tridymite, i.e.  $C_{26}A_9S_{65}$  (Table 1) (Moesgaard & Yue 2009; Moesgaard, et al., in press). In this work this composition is targeted using the naturally occurring minerals. The target composition is obtained by mixing the raw materials in the following proportions by weight; 63% bentonite, 31% limestone, 6% quartz sand. This corresponds to the CLS<sub>1N</sub> glass. The subscript indicates the molar content of Na<sub>2</sub>O. The use of these minerals introduces approx. 10% impurities (Table 1). These are mainly MgO, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and alkali oxides. CLS<sub>5N</sub> and CLS<sub>9N</sub> containing 5 and 9 mol% Na<sub>2</sub>O, respectively, is produced to investigate the effect on the characteristics of the glassof introducing additional alkali oxide. In an industrial scale production the alkali content could be increased e.g. by addition of cement kiln dust or Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

#### CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from glass production

During cement production most of the  $CO_2$  emission is caused by decarbonation of limestone, i.e., the socalled "process  $CO_2$ ". As limestone is also used to provide the CaO in the glass this is also an important factor during glass production and the quantity of "process  $CO_2$ " released during production is directly linked to the CaO content of the material. Minor variations in the composition of PC are observed worldwide depending on the local raw materials deposits. PC of the composition given in Table 1 results in the release of 516 kg "process  $CO_2$ " per tonne cement produced. In contrast the production of the glasses  $C_{26}A_9S_{65}$  and  $CLS_{1N}$  results in 180 and 175 kg "process  $CO_2$ " per tonne glass. This constitutes only approx. 30% of the  $CO_2$  released from decarbonation during production of PC.Comparable quantities of "process  $CO_2$ " are released from the three CLS glasses.

The other major contributor to the CO<sub>2</sub> released from cement and glass production is the so-called "fuelderived CO<sub>2</sub>". This is derived from the burning of fossil fuels needed to obtain the high temperatures of production and can be reduced by choosing a composition with a relatively low melting temperature hereby reducing the operating temperature of the melting furnace (Beerkens, 2004; Conradt, 2000). The temperature of importance during glass production is the so-called practical melting temperature ( $T_{pm}$ ). In this work  $T_{pm}$ is defined as the isokom temperature corresponding to a viscosity of 10 Pa·s. This viscosity will ensure a reasonable flow and workability of the glass melt.  $T_{pm}$  is determined by interpolation from the viscositytemperature data determined by viscometry (Table 2). From the table it is observed that  $T_{pm}(C_{26}A_9S_{65})$  is even higher than the production temperature of PC (1450-1500°C). The introduction of approx. 10% minor components from the minerals has a pronounced effect on the melting temperature which is reduced by almost 200°C from  $C_{26}A_9S_{65}$  to CLS<sub>1N</sub>. The introduction of additional Na<sub>2</sub>Ofurther reduces the practical melting temperature. Table 2: Practical melting temperature  $(T_{pm})$  and degree of pozzolanic reactivity for the four compositions under investigation.  $T_{pm}$  is given as the isokom temperature at  $\eta = 10$  Pa·s, and the degree of pozzolanic reactivity refers to the reactivity of the glass (wt%) with Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> and water after 7 days.

	$C_{26}A_9S_{65}$	CLS <sub>1N</sub>	CLS <sub>5N</sub>	CLS <sub>9N</sub>
$T_{\rm pm}$ (°C)	1527	1346	1329	1285
Degree of pozzolanic reactivity (%)	11.6	14.1	16.3	21.6

The CLS glasses can be produced at temperatures significantly lower than used for cement production. This is expected to have a pronounced effect on the "fuel-derived  $CO_2$ " especially by reduction of the major energy losses, i.e. the heat carried by the flue gas and the furnace wall and opening losses. Particularly the wall and opening losses are of importance as this energy normally cannot be recovered (Sardeshpande, et al., 2007). Another parameter affecting the "fuel-derived  $CO_2$ " is the energy of reactions. During glass production the energy of fusion (~500 kJ/kg glass) (Bouhifd, et al., 2007) is of importance, whereas the energy of calcination (~1800 kJ/kg CaCO<sub>3</sub>) is relevant for both glass and cement production. The reduced content of CaO in the CLS glasses relative to PC will therefore affect both the "process  $CO_2$ " but also the "fuel-derived  $CO_2$ ".

### **Pozzolanity of glass**

The SCMs are most often less reactive than PC. Therefore a partial substitution of PC with SCM will reduce the compressive strength compared to the pure cement. The most significant reductions are most often observed for the early strength (Shi & Zheng 2007; Massazza 2007). To ensure acceptable performance of the final mortar or concrete without being forced to increase the content of the composite cement it is of importance to minimize this reduction in compressive strength. This is possible if the SCM exhibits pozzolanity and therefore contributes to the strength giving reactions taking place during hydration. In this work the pozzolanic reactivity of the glasses is tested as the reactivity of glass particles in a saturated  $Ca(OH)_2$  solution. The alkalinity of the  $Ca(OH)_2$  solution resembles the pore solution of cement paste and mortar. The degree of pozzolanic reactivity is quantified as the fraction of glass that has reacted with  $Ca(OH)_2$  and water after 7 days.

During cement hydration Si is mainly incorporated into the C-S-H phase. This is an amorphous calcium silicate hydrate. The C-S-H phase is formed as the isolated SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra within alite and belite, i.e.,  $Q^0$  units, react with water to form polymerized chains consisting of  $Q^1$  and  $Q^2$  units. The formation of C-S-H can thus be followed by means of <sup>29</sup>Si solid state MAS NMR as this method allows one to distinguish between SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra of different degrees of polymerization, i.e., Q<sup>0</sup>, Q<sup>1</sup> etc (Taylor 1997; Odler 2007). The chemical shift of tetrahedrally coordinated Si in silicates and aluminosilicates is expected in the range -60 to -120 ppm. An increasing degree of polymerization results in resonances at lower ppm-values (Lippmaa, et al., 1980; Mägi, et al., 1984; Klinowski 1984). Figure 2 shows the <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectra of  $C_{26}A_9S_{65}$  and  $CLS_{9N}$ . Spectra are recorded before and after the reaction with  $Ca(OH)_2$  and water. For both samples the broad resonances reveal the amorphous nature of the glass composed of various Q<sup>n</sup> units giving rise to resonances in the entire range -60 to -120 ppm. In addition, Figure 2 illustrates the difference between the two which is obtained by subtracting the spectrum obtained before the pozzolanity test from the spectrum obtained after the test. To account for differences in the samples an appropriate scaling factor is used to ensure that the resonance from the original glass cancels out in the residual plot. For both samples the difference between the two is visualized as a resonance in the range -70 to -90 ppm. This corresponds to Si in  $Q^1$  and  $Q^2$  units indicating that a chain-like silicate structure forms during reaction with Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> and water. A C-S-H like phase is thus formed. The presence of paramagnetic iron within CLS<sub>9N</sub> causes the reduced signal-to-noise ratio observed for this glass compared to C<sub>26</sub>A<sub>9</sub>S<sub>65</sub>.





Figure 2 <sup>29</sup>Si MAS NMR spectra of  $C_{26}A_9S_{65}$  (left) and  $CLS_{9N}$  (right). The "residual" spectra show the scaled resonance after the pozzolanity test minus the resonance before the pozzolanity test.

Throughout the hydration of PC Al is primarily incorporated into crystalline calcium aluminate hydrates. The Al hydration can be followed as a gradual conversion of tetrahedrally coordinated monomeric AlO<sub>4</sub> units into octahedrally coordinated AlO<sub>6</sub> hydrates (Taylor 1997; Odler 2007). <sup>27</sup>Al MAS NMR can be used for this purpose as Al in tetrahedral coordination and in octahedral coordination give rise to signals in the range 55 to 80 ppm and -15 to 20 ppm, respectively (Merzbacher, et al., 1990; Muller, et al., 1981). Figure 3 shows the <sup>27</sup>Al NMR resonances of the two samples before and after the pozzolanity test as well as the residual spectrum. For C<sub>26</sub>A<sub>9</sub>S<sub>65</sub> (before the test) a broad resonance is observed, which corresponds to Al in tetrahedral coordination. Amorphous materials give broad peaks whereas crystalline phases cause narrow peaks. In addition to tetrahedrally coordinated Al, CLS<sub>9N</sub> (before the test) also contains a small fraction of Al in octahedral coordination.



Figure 3: <sup>27</sup>Al MAS NMR spectra of C<sub>26</sub>A<sub>9</sub>S<sub>65</sub>(left) and CLS<sub>9N</sub>(right). The "residual" spectra show the scaled resonance after the pozzolanity test minus the resonance before the pozzolanity test.



After the pozzolanity test, a sharp peak is observed for both samples in the range 0 - 20 ppm. Thus a crystalline phase containing Al in octahedral coordination forms as the amorphous calcium aluminosilica reacts with Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> and water. This indicates the presence of a separate Al hydrate phase. This clearly shows that the glasses are reacting as pozzolanic materials.

The degree of pozzolanic reactivity is quantified as the fraction of glass that is reacting during the test (Table 2). The introduction of impurities from the natural minerals is observed to increase the reactivity and the introduction of additional  $Na_2O$  further increases the reactivity.

# CONCLUSION

In this work, we tested the applicability of CAS glasses made from natural minerals as a partial replacement of cement clinker. The objective of the replacement is to reduce the  $CO_2$  emissions from cement production. ~175 kg  $CO_2$  is released from the decarbonation of the raw materials used to produce one tonne of glass, whereas ~516 kg "process  $CO_2$ " is released in the production of one tonne cement. The temperature of glass production affects the amount of "fuel-derived  $CO_2$ ". The glasses based on naturally occurring minerals can be produced at temperatures that are 100-150°C lower than the temperature needed to produce Portland cement. Taking into account the energy of fusion for glass production, the "fuel-derived  $CO_2$  emission" involved in the production of glass and Portland cement clinker is approximately equal. NMR investigations proved that the glasses are pozzolanically active. The pozzolanity is higher for the glass obtained using natural raw materials than the glass obtained using pure oxides.Introduction of additional Na<sub>2</sub>Ofurther increases the pozzolanity.

In general, the glasses show good potential as supplementary cementitious materials. The use of the glasses in composite cements is also expected to result in an overall  $CO_2$  reduction in cement production. This is mainly due to the significantly lower raw material derived  $CO_2$  emission from glass production process compared to production of Portland clinkers.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Danish National Advanced Technology Foundation is acknowledged for financial support. We thank Ralf Keding (Section of Chemistry, Aalborg University) for assistance with the glass preparation and foruseful discussions.

## REFERENCES

Beerkens, R. 2004 "Industrial glassmelting process analysis". Am. Ceram. Soc. Bulletin 83, 28-32.

Bouhifd, M. A., Besson, P., Courtial, P., Gérardin C., Navrotsky, A., Richet, P. 2007. "Thermochemistry and melting properties of basalt". Contrib Mineral Petrol. 153, 689-698.

Conradt, R. 2000 "A generic approach to the relation between pul rate and energy consumption of glass furnaces". Glass Sci. Technol. Glastech. Ber. 73, 252-261.

Damtoft, J. S., Lukasik, J., Herfort, D., Sorrentino, D., Gartner, E. M. 2005. "Sustainable development and climate change initiatives". Cem. Concr. Res. 38, 115-127.

Humphreys, K., Mahasenan, M.2002. "Toward a sustainable cement industry. Sub-study 8: climate change". An Independent Study Commissioned to Battelle by World Business Council for Sustainable Development.

Klinowski, J. 1984. "Nuclear magnetic resonance studies of zeolites". J. Progr. NMR Spectr.16, 237-309.Lippmaa, E., Mägi, M., Samoson, A. Engelhardt, G., Grimmer, A. R. 1980. "Structural Studies of Silicates by Solid-state High-Resolution 29Si NMR". J. Am. Chem. Soc. 02, 4889-4893.



Mägi, M., Lippmaa, E., Engelhardt, G., Grimmer, A. R. 1984. "Solid-state High-Resolution Silicon-29 Chemical Shifts in Silicates". J. Phys. Chem. 88, 1518-1522.

Massazza, F. 2007. "Pozzolana and Pozzolanic Cements" In Hewlett, P. C., ed. "Lea's chemistry of cement and concrete" Elsevier, 4<sup>th</sup> edition

Merzbacher, C. I., Sherriff, B. L., Hartman, J. S., White, W. B. 1990."A high-resolution <sup>27</sup>Al and <sup>29</sup>Si NMR study of alkaline earth aluminosilicate glasses". J. Non-cryst. Sol. 124, 194-206

Moesgaard, M., Herfort, D., Skibsted, J., Yue, Y. Z. (in press) "Glass as a supplementary cementitious material" Submitted to Glass Technology – European Journal of Glass Science and Technology, Part A

Moesgaard, M., Yue, Y. Z. 2009. "Compositional dependence of fragility and glass forming ability of calcium aluminosilicate melts" J. Non. Cryst. Sol. 355, 867-873.

Muller, D. Gessner, W. Behrens, H. J., Scheler, G. 1981. "Determination of the aluminum coordination in aluminum-oxygen compounds by solid-state high-resolution 27Al NMR" Chem. Phys. Lett. 70, 59-62

Mysen, B. O., Richet, P. 2005. "Silicate glasses and melts – properties and structure, Developments in geochemistry 10", Elsevier, 1<sup>st</sup> edition

Odler, I 2007. "Hydration, Setting and Hardening of Portland Cement" In Hewlett, P. C., ed. "Lea's chemistry of cement and concrete" Elsevier, 4<sup>th</sup> edition

Rehan, R., Nehdi, M. 2005. "Carbon dioxide emissions and climate change: policy implications for the cement industry". Environ. Sci. Pol. 8, 105-114.

Sardeshpande, V., Gaitonde, U. N., Banerjee, R. 2007. "Model based energy benchmarking for glass furnace". Energ. Convers. Manage. 48, 2718-2738.

Schwarz, N., Cam, H., Neithalath, N. 2008. "Influence of a fine glass powder on the durability characteristics of concrete and its comparison to fly ash". Cem. Concr. Res. 30, 486-496

Shi, C., Wu, Y., Riefler, C., Wang, H. 2005. "Characteristics and pozzolanic reactivity of glass powders,". Cem. Concr. Res. 35, 987-993

Shi, C., Zheng, K. A. 2007. "Review on the use of waste glasses in the production of cement and concrete". Resour. Conserv. Recy. 52, 234-247

Shayan A., Xu, A. 2006. "Performance of glass powder as a pozzolanic material in concrete: A field trial on concrete slabs". Cem. Concr. Res. 36, 457-468

Taylor, H. F. W. 1997. "Cement chemistry", Academic Press, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition

Worrell, E., Price, L., Martin, N., Hendriks, C., Meida, K. O. 2001. "Carbon dioxide emission from the global cement industry". Annu. Rev. Energy Environ. 26, 303-329.